

ratio of the diameter of the mirror aperture to the diameter of the mirror assumes smaller values. The axial distance between the third mirror and the second ~~fourth~~ field plane is denoted below by Z_{M3-IM} . The distance Z_{M3-IM} advantageously has a minimum value which is equal to the sum of the minimum substrate thickness of the third mirror and a minimum free optical working distance. The minimum substrate thickness is specified on the optical axis between the surface vertex and the rear surface even if, because of the central mirror aperture, the mirror has no substrate material there. The minimum substrate thickness is 3% of the diameter of the mirror. Since it is a concave mirror, the physically present substrate thickness of the third mirror is greater. If the aperture obscuration so permits, it is advantageous when the minimum substrate thickness on the axis is 5% or even 10% of the diameter of a concave mirror with central mirror aperture. The minimum free optical working distance between the rear surface of the third mirror and the second field plane is 5.0 mm. This free optical working distance ensures the positioning of an object in the second field plane. The maximum value of the distance Z_{M3-IM} is primarily a function of the tolerable aperture obscuration and secondly of the numerical aperture NA in the second field plane. It is advantageous for a low aperture obscuration when the diameter of the third mirror aperture is smaller than 50% of the diameter $DuM3$ of the third mirror. Since the diameter of the third mirror aperture increases linearly with the tangent of the arcsine of the numerical aperture in the second field plane, and with the distance of the third mirror from the field plane, the maximum value of the distance Z_{M3-IM} is given by the following relationship:--

in the second field plane 9 have an aperture obscuration almost independent of field height. If a mechanical shutter diaphragm with variable diameter is arranged in the aperture plane 29, the shutter blades can move on a curved surface in accordance with the curvature of the aperture plane. It is also possible to provide a plurality of flat mechanical diaphragms with variable diameter which can be inserted if required axially offset. The marginal rays 37 and 39, which emanate from the two field points 33 and 35 in the first field plane 7, go through the upper and lower margins of the aperture plane 29 39. The field point 33 is located on the optical axis OA, and the field point 35 is located on the upper margin of the field at a distance of 100 mm from the optical axis OA. Further illustrated for the field point 33 are the rays 41 which are just no longer vignetted by the mirror apertures. In the second field plane 9, they have an aperture angle of 18.4° , and so the aperture obscuration is 0.45. The ratio of the numerical aperture in the second field plane to the aperture obscuration is therefore 1.56. The mirror aperture 19 of the concave mirror 17 acts in a limiting fashion for the aperture obscuration in the first exemplary embodiment--.

On page 33, please amend the paragraph beginning with "In order to keep the aperture" as follows:

--In order to keep the aperture obscuration as low as possible, the concave mirrors 249 and 225 are arranged in the vicinity of the intermediate image 211, or of the further intermediate image 243. The axial distance between the concave mirror 249 and the intermediate image 211 is 50.0 mm, and likewise 50.0 mm between the concave mirror

225 and the further intermediate image 243. These axial distances also correspond in each case to the axial distances in relation to the mirror 213, or to the mirror 245. The axial distances are selected to be large enough to accommodate the adjacent mirrors 213 and 249, or 245 and 225, with an axial distance of the mirror rear surfaces, taking account of the respective substrate thickness. The ~~the~~ substrate of mirror 245 does not have a plane rear surface. In order for the rays passing through the mirror aperture 247 not to be vignetted at the substrate, the rear surface has a frustoconical depression surrounding the central mirror aperture 247.--

Please amend the paragraph on pages 40-42 beginning with "A lithographic projection exposure apparatus...." as follows::

--A lithographic projection exposure apparatus 453 for EUV lithography is illustrated schematically in Figure 4. A laser-induced plasma source 459 serves as light source. In this case, a Xenon target, for example, is excited by means of a pump laser 457 to emit EUV radiation. The illuminating system 455 comprises the collector mirror 461, the homogenizing and field-forming unit 463 and the field mirror 465. Such illuminating systems are described, for example, in US 6,198,793 (DE 199 03 807), which is owned by the same assignee as the present invention and whose content is incorporated herein by reference. The illuminating system 455 illuminates a restricted field on the micromirror array 467, which is arranged on the holding and positioning unit 469. The micromirror array 467 has 1000×1000 separately controllable mirrors of size $10 \mu\text{m} \times 10 \mu\text{m}$. Taking account of a minimum distance of $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ between the micromirrors, the illuminating

401 by the micromirrors. The computer and control unit 477 is used to control the pump laser 457, the illuminating system 455, for the purpose of varying the pupil illumination, the controllable micromirror array 467 and the holding and positioning units 473 and 469.--